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| **Meeting:** Executive, 13 February 24 | **Author:** Darren Bird, Alex Clark  |

**Subject:** Customer complaints forum

**Background**

As part of our commitment to the Housing Ombudsman complaint code and improving our complaint handling, we advised the Board in July 22 that we would be creating a new Customer complaints forum. This paper gives an update on the progress of this group and the results on their recent findings.

**Purpose of the group**

The remit of the forum is to be a critical friend, reviewing completed complaints to ensure that we follow the expectations of the Housing ombudsman complaint code, they are dealt with in a sympathetic manner with fair resolutions.

The forum consists of 6 customers.

We continue to provide the forum with updates relating to The Housing Ombudsman. Including information about the new Code coming into effect on 1 April 2024 and changes we are making to ensure continuing compliance with the Code.

The group have recently assisted with review our proposed Unacceptable Behaviour Policy and provided valuable feedback.

**Scope**

They meet every quarter.

They are provided with ten anonymised complaint cases that have gone through

our complaints process and now closed. They receive the headline complaint reason

and the complaint number.

The group will agree on five (of the ten) and receive fuller details, including all the

notes, correspondence, outcomes, and learnings. They are then required to

individually score and assess the following areas of our handling of those

complaints:

- Quality of the notes

- Correct correspondence

- Dealt with inside timescales

- Chronology of events

- The outcome

- Fairness in the handling of the complaint

- Putting things right

They also provide a written summary of the scores to give insight.

**Results**

The forum has reviewed and scored five complaints and gave their feedback – the table below shows the average score of the group in each area.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Area of review  | Score (out of 5) |
| Quality of notes | 3.6 |
| Correct correspondence | 4.2 |
| Timescales  | 4.1 |
| Chronology of events  | 3.9 |
| The Outcome  | 3.7 |
| Fairness in the handling of complaint  | 4.0 |
| Putting things right  | 4.0 |

**Positive feedback**

Sympathetic, clear language, flowed nicely, impressive response time, impartial, clear and concise notes and over and above

**Development feedback**

Reduce use of abbreviation and jargon, missing notes, one sided and confusing.

**Summary and next steps**

The results continue to provide valuable feedback.

A log of agreed actions has been created and is reviewed at each quarterly forum meeting, the group is asked for their feedback and actions taken are feedback to them.

As a direct result we will ensure that all case managers are reminded to use plain English. New training for case managers is being developed when further help and guidance will be provided.

Our top performing complaint scored 154 points (out of an available 175), the poorest complaint scoring 118 points.

The feedback has been shared with the case managers and their managers to recognise where we have managed and dealt with it well, whilst ensuring additional support and training is put in place for the members of staff where we did not score so well.

Our marketing and comms team will be regularly providing updates to our customers and have created an in-depth plan for ongoing communications. This includes the monthly customer update where news and learning will be shared.

At each future meeting a senior team member will attend the forum to provide an insight into different areas of the business. This will provide the forum with a clear understanding of Selwood’s processes and approach to customers. During our October Meeting Peter Collins, Contact Manager, Response Repairs and Voids. An overview of this area of the business was provided and the floor was opened for questions

**Options and recommendations**

Note this report and agree that quarterly updates will come to the Exec team.

**Appendix**

Appendix A – Complaints reviewed and how the Customer complaints forum operates.

**Complaints reviewed**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Complaint number**  | **Nature of complaint**  |
| 17671 | Irons |
| 17472 | ASB (Anti social behaviour) |
| 17911 | Staff attitude |
| 18005 | Compensation |
| 18155 | Damp and mould |

**How the Customer complaints forum operates**

They meet every quarter.

They are provided with ten anonymised complaint cases that have gone through our complaints process and now closed. They receive the headline complaint reason and the complaint number.

The group will agree on five (of the ten) and receive fuller details, including all the notes, correspondence, outcomes, and learnings.

They will assess and feedback on these elements:

**Quality of notes.**

- are they clear/could they be misinterpreted.

- contain enough detail

- would you have expected more information

- notes should be factual not an opinion

**Correct correspondence sent**

- acknowledgement

- response letter

- closure letter

- did we promote the Housing Ombudsman service

**Correspondence sent within agreed timescales**

- acknowledgement sent within **5** working days

- response letter sent within **10** working days

- extra time agreed is required

**Could the complaint be followed from start to finish**

- Chronological order

**Complaint outcome**

- Does the outcome look and feel right

* Would you have done anything differently

**Was the complaint dealt with Fairly**

* Have we been impartial
* Did we compromise and show flexibility
* Was the complaint dealt with in a way the customer could understand
* Was the language clear and concise

**Did we Put Things Right**

* Have all points raised been addressed
* Was the customer compensated correctly

Each of these elements are scored by the forum member, based on this scoring matrix.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Score  | Definition – could include these elements  |
| **1** | Timescales not maintained, letters not sent, limited notes, no learning outcome recorded. |
| **2** | Most parts could have been improved on, lacking information or not clear with our approach. |
| **3** | Some information missing, the case has been fairly dealt with and things have been put right. |
| **4** | Most elements were adhered to but there was room for improvement in some parts. |
| **5** | All letters and timescales have been adhered to, clear and detailed notes, case has been dealt with fairly and things have been put right, we have apologised. |

Each forum member will sum up each complaint with a summary of their score(s)